



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/742,625

12/20/2000

Frank Bor-Her Chen

25164-67462

9358

28863 7590 03/27/2009
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A.
1625 RADIO DRIVE
SUITE 300
WOODBURY, MN 55125

EXAMINER

LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/27/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pairedocketing@ssiplaw.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09742625	12/20/2000	CHEN ET AL.	25164-67462

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A.
1625 RADIO DRIVE
SUITE 300
WOODBURY, MN 55125

EXAMINER

Elena Tsoy. Lightfoot

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1792	20090323
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This is to inform that an inadvertent error has occurred in numbering the claims in the grounds of rejection in the Examiner's Answer mailed on 2/26/2009.

The correct grounds of rejection in the Examiner's Answer should read as the claim numbers in the grounds of rejection in the Final Rejection mailed on 7/15/2008, namely:

Claims 37-39, 51-52, and 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schedlitzki (DE 2224732) in view of Cummings (US 3,529,993), further in view of Helmer et al (WO 9622338).

Claims 38-39, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schedlitzki in view of Cummings, further in view of Helmer et al, as applied above, and further in view of van der Hoeven (US 4,789,604).

/Elena Tsoy Lightfoot /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792